

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,454	11/21/2003	Joe W. Almeida	22714.00	8052	
37833 7590 05/07/2008 LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035			EXAMINER LOFTUS, ANN E		
	,		3692		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/717,454	ALMEIDA, JOE	W.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANN LOFTUS	3692	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Mapplicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic     (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated		expiration of the
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar	mendment which pla	aces the

application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).

(c) \( \textstyle{\textstyle{1}}\) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-

c) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).

ĺ	ď	) M	No rer	ılv t	nas	been	receive	BC

2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee,	if applicable, within the statutory period of three months
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).	
(a) The issue fee and publication fee if applicable was received on	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date

(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_ is due.

The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ ... The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ ...

The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$\_\_.

(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.

3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of

Allowability (PTO-37).

(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.

(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.

The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of
the applicants.

 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on \_\_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

7. The reason(s) below:

Mr. Dolph Torrence of Litman Law said via telephone on 5/5/08 that the client had not instructed them to respond.

/Kambiz Abdi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3692 /Ann Loftus/ Examiner, Art Unit 3692

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.